

I. INTEGRAL THEOREMS

A. Introduction

The integral theorems of mathematical physics all have their origin in the ordinary fundamental theorem of calculus, i.e.

$$\int_{x_a}^{x_b} \frac{df}{dx} dx = f(x_b) - f(x_a) \quad (1)$$

Using this theorem multiple times we may generalize to 2 and 3 dimensional geometries (or even beyond!). In all cases we find the same general pattern viz. : “ The Integral of a derivative yields the “*function itself*” summed up over all the boundary points.” Algebra in multiple dimensions is facilitated by using vector notation such as $\vec{r}(t)$. So also, a function $\mathbf{F}(x, y, z)$ may be written $\mathbf{F}(\vec{r})$. The ordinary rules of partial differentiation govern our calculus e.g.

$$\frac{d}{dt} F(\vec{r}(t)) = \frac{\partial F}{\partial x} \frac{dx}{dt} + \frac{\partial F}{\partial y} \frac{dy}{dt} + \frac{\partial F}{\partial z} \frac{dz}{dt} \quad (2)$$

Here also, a judicious choice of notation helps us condense our expressions. In particular, the *gradient* notation $\vec{\nabla}$ will be of particular use in writing our expressions concisely.

$$\vec{\nabla} \equiv \hat{\mathbf{x}} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} + \hat{\mathbf{y}} \frac{\partial}{\partial y} + \hat{\mathbf{z}} \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \quad (3)$$

note that the $\hat{\mathbf{x}}$ and the other corresponding terms represent unit vectors in the corresponding directions. The definition expressed in equation (3) gives us a notational tool that allows us to martial our three basic partial derivatives efficiently. In particular, we may write in handy compact notation:

$$\frac{dF}{dt} = \vec{\nabla} F \cdot \frac{d\vec{r}}{dt} \quad (4)$$

B. The fundamental theorem for line integrals

Assertion:

$$\int_{\vec{r}_i}^{\vec{r}_f} d\vec{r} \cdot \vec{\nabla} F = F(\vec{r}_f) - F(\vec{r}_i) \quad (5)$$

Proof: Ask yourself ... how would you actually perform the integral? The answer is that you would supply some parametrization of the curve ‘C’ i.e. $\vec{r}(s)$ where $\vec{r}(s_i) = \vec{r}_i$ and $\vec{r}(s_f) = \vec{r}_f$ and $\vec{r}(s)$ traces out the curve C as $s \in [s_i, s_f]$ proceeds to sweep through *its* values. Then

$$dF = ds \frac{dF}{ds} = ds \left(\frac{d\vec{r}}{ds} \cdot \vec{\nabla} F \right) = \left(ds \frac{d\vec{r}}{ds} \right) \cdot \vec{\nabla} F = d\vec{r} \cdot \vec{\nabla} F \quad (6)$$

So

$$\int_{\vec{r}_i}^{\vec{r}_f} d\vec{r} \cdot \vec{\nabla} F = \int_{s_i}^{s_f} ds \frac{dF}{ds} = \int_{\vec{r}_i}^{\vec{r}_f} dF = F(\vec{r}_f) - F(\vec{r}_i) \quad (7)$$

The pattern is universal. In each case we consider an integral and then ask how we would actually perform it. A simple parametrization leads immediately to the theorem.

C. Green's Theorem in the Plane

Suppose we have a function $Q(x, y)$ and a region S_{xy} in the $x - y$ plane bounded by a curve C . Suppose further that we must evaluate

$$\iint_{S_{xy}} dx dy \frac{\partial Q}{\partial y}$$

Consider the above . . . how would you actually *do* it? In general, double integrals are evaluated as iterated ordinary one-dimensional integrals.

$$\begin{aligned} \iint_{S_{xy}} dx dy \frac{\partial Q}{\partial y} &= \int_a^b dx \int_{Y_1(x)}^{Y_2(x)} \frac{\partial Q}{\partial y} \\ &= \int_a^b dx \{Q(x, Y_2(x)) - Q(x, Y_1(x))\} \\ &= \int_a^b dx Q(x, Y_2(x)) + \int_b^a dx Q(x, Y_1(x)) \end{aligned}$$

From Equation 8 that this is just what we *mean* by the line integral:

$$- \oint_C Q(x, y) dx$$

Implicitly here, we traverse the boundary curve C in a counter-clockwise (positive) manner unless otherwise noted.

In summary then:

$$\iint_{S_{xy}} dx dy \frac{\partial Q}{\partial y} = - \oint_C Q dx \quad \text{and} \quad \iint_{S_{xy}} dx dy \frac{\partial P}{\partial x} = \oint_C P dy$$

Finally, we note that by adding these two results we can configure the resulting identity in a very suggestive form:

$$\iint_{S_{xy}} dx dy \left(\frac{\partial A_y}{\partial x} - \frac{\partial A_x}{\partial y} \right) = \oint_C (A_x dx + A_y dy)$$

D. Stokes Theorem

A surface S bounded by a curve C . Consider

$$\oint_C A(x, y, z) dx$$

How would we do it?

$$\oint_C A(x, y, z) dx = \oint_{C^*} A(x, y, z(x, y)) dx = \oint_{C^*} \Phi(x, y) dx$$

If

$$\Phi(x, y) = A(x, y, z(x, y)).$$

Now

$$\oint_{C^*} \Phi(x, y) dx = - \iint_{S_{xy}} dx dy \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial y}$$

and

$$\frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial y} = \frac{\partial A}{\partial y} + \frac{\partial A}{\partial z} \frac{\partial z}{\partial y}$$

Notice! The surface normal to S at point (x, y, z) is parallel to

$$\nabla(z - z(x, y)) = \left(-\frac{\partial z}{\partial x}, -\frac{\partial z}{\partial y}, 1\right) \parallel \hat{n}.$$

So

$$\frac{\partial z}{\partial y} = -\frac{n_y}{n_z}$$

Recall, that

$$d\sigma = \frac{d\sigma_{xy}}{n_z}$$

So!

$$\begin{aligned} \oint A dx &= - \iint_{S_{xy}} dx dy \left(\frac{\partial A}{\partial y} + \frac{\partial A}{\partial z} \frac{\partial z}{\partial y} \right) \\ &= - \iint_{S_{xy}} \frac{dx dy}{n_z} n_z \left(\frac{\partial A}{\partial y} - \frac{\partial A}{\partial z} \frac{n_y}{n_z} \right) \\ &= \iint_S d\sigma \left(\frac{\partial A}{\partial z} n_y - \frac{\partial A}{\partial y} n_z \right) \end{aligned}$$

Now, by adding in the equivalent terms from y and z components, we achieve:

$$\begin{aligned} &\oint_C (A_x dx + A_y dy + A_z dz) \\ &= \iint_S d\sigma \left(\left(n_y \frac{\partial}{\partial z} A_x - n_z \frac{\partial}{\partial y} A_x \right) + \left(n_z \frac{\partial}{\partial x} A_y - n_x \frac{\partial}{\partial z} A_y \right) + \left(n_x \frac{\partial}{\partial y} A_z - n_y \frac{\partial}{\partial x} A_z \right) \right) \\ &= \iint_S d\sigma \left(\left(\frac{\partial A_z}{\partial y} - \frac{\partial A_y}{\partial z} \right) n_x + \left(\frac{\partial A_x}{\partial z} - \frac{\partial A_z}{\partial x} \right) n_y + \left(\frac{\partial A_y}{\partial x} - \frac{\partial A_x}{\partial y} \right) n_z \right) \end{aligned}$$

In modern notation this appears substantially condensed as:

$$\oint \vec{A} \cdot d\vec{r} = \iint_S \vec{\nabla} \times \vec{A} \cdot \hat{n} d\sigma$$

E. Divergence Theorem

For a given function $R(x, y, z)$, consider

$$\iiint_V dx dy dz \frac{\partial R}{\partial z}$$

How would you do it?

$$\iiint_V dx dy dz \frac{\partial R}{\partial z} = \iint_{S_{xy}} dx dy \int_{z_{h_1}(x,y)}^{z_{h_2}(x,y)} dz \frac{\partial R}{\partial z}$$

Now we write above as

$$\iint_{S_{xy}} dx dy \{R(x, y, z_{h_2}(x, y)) - R(x, y, z_{h_1}(x, y))\}$$

Now, we have $d\sigma_{xy} = dx dy = d\sigma \cos(\hat{n}\hat{z})$ or $d\sigma = \frac{d\sigma_{xy}}{n_z}$

Now,

$$\iint_{S_{xy}} dx dy R(x, y, z_{h_i}(x, y))$$

is what we mean by

$$\iint_{S_{upper}} dx dy n_z R$$

and if we let \hat{n} always mean the *outward* pointing unit vector then

$$- \iint_{S_{xy}} dx dy R(x, y, z_b(x, y)) = \iint_{S_{lower}} dx dy z_n R$$

So

$$\iiint_{V_{ol}} dx dy dz \frac{\partial R}{\partial z} = \oint_S d\sigma n_z R$$

By addition

$$\iiint_{V_{ol}} dx dy dz \left(\frac{\partial P}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial Q}{\partial y} + \frac{\partial R}{\partial z} \right) = \oint_S d\sigma (P n_x + Q n_y + R n_z)$$

Writing in modern notation we have

$$\int_V dV \vec{\nabla} \cdot \vec{A} = \oint_S \vec{A} \cdot \hat{n} d\sigma$$

F. Summary of Integral Identities

At this point we collect our integral identities for easy reference.

1. The fundamental theorem of calculus:

$$\int_{x_a}^{x_b} \frac{df}{dx} dx = f(x_b) - f(x_a) \quad (8)$$

2. The fundamental theorem for line integrals:

$$\int_{\vec{r}_i}^{\vec{r}_f} d\vec{r} \cdot \vec{\nabla} F = F(\vec{r}_f) - F(\vec{r}_i) \quad (9)$$

3. Green's theorem in a plane. S_{xy} is a planar area bounded by the curve C :

$$\iint_{S_{xy}} dx dy \left(\frac{\partial A_y}{\partial x} - \frac{\partial A_x}{\partial y} \right) = \oint_C (A_x dx + A_y dy) \quad (10)$$

4. Stokes theorem for an arbitrary vector function $\vec{A}(\vec{r})$ and a surface S bounded by a curve C :

$$\iint_S \vec{\nabla} \times \vec{A} \cdot \hat{n} \, d\sigma = \oint_C \vec{A} \cdot d\vec{r} \quad (11)$$

5. The divergence theorem for any vector function $\vec{A}(\vec{r})$, and a volume V bounded by a surface S :

$$\int_V dV \vec{\nabla} \cdot \vec{A} = \oint_S \vec{A} \cdot \hat{n} \, d\sigma \quad (12)$$